

## Ethnic Identity and Second Language Learning

PAVEL TROFIMOVICH

*Concordia University, Montreal, Canada*

[Pavel.Trofimovich@concordia.ca](mailto:Pavel.Trofimovich@concordia.ca)

LARISA TURUŠEVA

*Latvia University of Agriculture, Jelgava, Latvia*

[Larisa.Turuseva@llu.lv](mailto:Larisa.Turuseva@llu.lv)

### ABSTRACT

Ethnic identity refers to the subjective experience embracing the feelings, experiences, and behaviors through which people position their membership in a single or multiple ethnic groups. The goal of this article is to integrate evidence from social psychology and applied linguistics, by focusing on the identity–language link from the perspective most relevant to second language (L2) development, namely, by considering how ethnic identity might be implicated in L2 learning. We first define and contextualize ethnic identity and its possible relationships to language. We then review recent empirical evidence for the link between ethnic identity and L2 measures, and we speculate on whether this link represents a bidirectional relationship whereby ethnic identity and language are interlocked through self-reinforcing processes and mediated through language users' experience with language. We conclude by framing research on ethnic identity within sociocognitive views of L2 learning and describe several possible avenues for advancing this area of research.

Every person ... has an accent. Your accent carries the story of who you are—who first held you and talked to you when you were a child, where you have lived, your age, the schools you attended, the languages you know, your ethnicity, whom you admire, your loyalties, your profession, your class position: traces of your life and identity are woven into your pronunciation, your phrasing, your choice of words. Your self is inseparable from your accent. Someone who tells you they don't like the way you speak is quite likely telling you that they don't like you. (Matsuda, 1991, p. 1329)

### INTRODUCTION

We begin our review by quoting Mari Matsuda, an acclaimed American lawyer, scholar, and activist who has spoken and written extensively on issues of feminism, critical race theory, and civil rights. The above quote begins Matsuda's eloquent essay condemning the practice of discrimination based on accent or societal and legal prejudices stemming from people's judgment about other speakers' speech. Although accent discrimination falls outside the scope of this review, which is to discuss the link between ethnic identity and second (and subsequent) language

learning, Matsuda's poignant description of accent seems remarkably relevant. It highlights the inextricable bond between our speech patterns and the myriad of variables that shape perceptions of who we are, including our sense of ethnic identity. The goal of this review is to unravel at least some aspects of this bond, by showing evidence from social-psychological and applied linguistic research. We start by defining and contextualizing ethnic identity and its possible relationships to language. We then review recent empirical evidence for the link between ethnic identity and second language (L2) measures and provide theoretical framing for these findings. We conclude by describing several avenues for advancing this area of research.

#### DEFINITION

Ethnic identity can be broadly defined as a subjective experience of being a part of an ethnic group (Ashmore, Deaux, & McLaughlin-Volpe, 2004), and in the case of L2 learning and use, the ethnic groups in question typically are learners' own (ancestral) ethnic group and one or more target language (L2) communities. The construct of ethnic identity embraces the feelings, experiences, and behaviors that in their totality amount to individuals' positioning with respect to their membership in a single or multiple ethnic groups. Although many components of ethnic identity exist (Cameron, 2004; Leach et al., 2008), it appears to include at least three elements: centrality of the group to one's self (perceived importance of the group membership), positive affect associated with the group (feelings of joy and pride of being a group member), and in-group ties (perceived strength of affiliation to one's group). Ethnic identity forms part of a large field of identity studies, which spans the domains of clinical, social, and cross-cultural psychology; sociolinguistics; sociology; political science (especially in the realm of immigration and language policy); and forensics and law. Of particular interest to researchers interested in L2 development, however, is the question of how individuals' sense of ethnic identity relates to their linguistic performance and, vice versa, how language practices might shape one's ethnic identity.

#### HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE AND OBJECTIVE

The pioneering work of Wallace Lambert and his colleagues paved the way for understanding how individuals' conception of ethnic identity might relate to language learning (for another perspective, see Le Page & Tabouret-Keller, 1985). Central to Lambert's research were the ideas that language learning involves contact between individuals or groups, which increases the chance that matters of personal and group identity will become salient. Consequently, becoming bilingual or multilingual involves not only the learning of language as a linguistic system but also the interpretation of its cultural aspects, including personal and ethnic identity (Lambert, 1967, 1980). Lambert described several patterns of how individuals' conceptions of ethnic identity might interact during language learning. One pattern

illustrates subtractive bilingualism or assimilation, whereby individuals (usually members of a minority group) acquire the language of a majority group and often lose their own language and culture. Another pattern reflects additive bilingualism or integration, with speakers embracing a new language and culture despite a strong sense of ethnic identity, thus adding a new language and culture without losing their own. Although particular interaction patterns depend on the given social and political context (Sachdev, Giles, & Pauwels, 2012), Lambert emphasized that adding a new language and culture should not lead to a loss of identity.

Clearly, describing ethnic identity in terms of discrete interaction patterns may prove simplistic, which is a view supported by many identity scholars (e.g., Block, 2007; Norton & Toohey, 2011). Nevertheless, the categories of assimilation and integration outlined by Lambert, as well as related labels, such as adaptation, convergence, and divergence (Giles, 2012), are useful in framing L2 ethnic identity research. This is because they provide anchor points for integrating and interpreting psychological research on ethnic identity, which focuses on social aspects of cross-cultural communication, migration, and settlement (Nguyen & Benet-Martínez, 2013), and research in applied linguistics, whose objective is to understand L2 development. Therefore, the aim of this article is to pull together evidence from social psychology and applied linguistics by focusing on the identity–language link from the perspective most relevant to L2 development, namely, by considering how ethnic identity might be implicated in L2 learning.

#### CONTEXTUALIZATION

However, before addressing the main issue, which is the identity–language link, we first briefly contextualize ethnic identity from conceptual and theoretical perspectives. Such framing is important because ethnic identity, as any other identity construct, is a complex phenomenon. First, ethnic identity is believed to be a highly subjective dimension, in that it does not reflect externally derived social or political labels but instead corresponds to the individual’s subjective positioning towards a group. Ethnic identity is also only one dimension among many social identities individuals may possess, such as gender, age, race, sexuality, or social class identities. In addition to ethnic identity, one’s personal histories and experiences, which make an individual’s personal identity, might contribute to the successes and failures of intercultural contact. Furthermore, people can possess multiple ethnic identities and can integrate them in a multitude of ways depending on the micro and macro contexts of interaction. Last but not least, ethnic identities are likely multifaceted and dynamic, with the consequences that they can be “negotiated” not only across different types of intercultural contact but also within a single intercultural transaction (e.g., Block, 2007; Edwards, 2009; Norton & Toohey, 2011).

The multidimensional and contextualized nature of the ethnic identity construct is reflected in the variety of theoretical frameworks proposed to explain it. With respect to applied linguistics, identity theories can be roughly categorized into

social-psychological theories (e.g., Noels & Giles, 2009) and sociocultural theories (e.g., Lantolf & Thorne, 2006). Common to social-psychological perspectives is the emphasis on intergroup behaviors, such as communication, accommodation, acculturation, social change, or discrimination, with respect to individuals' and groups' identity profiles. In turn, sociocultural constructionist views maintain that identity, including ethnic identity, emerges through patterns of interaction during which multiple identities are negotiated dynamically. These views also hold that negotiation of identities takes place in socially constructed contexts or communities of practice and involves the exchange of cultural knowledge for positioning one kind of identity over another (Norton & Toohey, 2011). Although at first glance these views might seem to conflict because of their orientations towards either psychological or sociocultural research traditions, they nevertheless appear highly complementary in that they highlight the complex nature of ethnic identity as a social, cognitive, and cultural phenomenon (Hulstijn et al., 2014).

#### ETHNIC IDENTITY AND L2 LEARNING: ESTABLISHING A LINK

It comes as no surprise that language and ethnic identity are inextricably linked. Barring a handful of exceptions (e.g., Northover & Donnelly, 1996), ethnic groups typically consider language as a salient identity symbol (e.g., Edwards, 2009; Fought, 2006). As shown by Labov's (1972) classic study, speakers can manipulate their speech patterns (e.g., in terms of vowel quality) to project a certain identity, distinguishing themselves from members of another group. In this sense, the speech patterns of individual speakers or groups, which are commonly described as accent and refer to dimensions associated with linguistic attributes of spoken language (e.g., prosody, segmental accuracy), appear to be some of the most salient markers of speakers' ethnolinguistic belonging (Edwards, 2009; Lippi-Green, 2012; Sachdev et al., 2012). For instance, language users, including bilinguals and multilinguals, exploit variations in speech patterns to project various degrees of convergence or divergence towards their interlocutors (e.g., Boberg, 2004; Gordon, 2000; Schilling-Estes, 2004).

The special status of accent as a salient marker of ethnic identity is unsurprising for several reasons. Preference for "familiar" accents emerges in the first year of life (Kinzler, Dupoux, & Spelke, 2007). A nonnative accent is a powerful cue guiding children's and adults' perceptions and actions, such as making an evaluative judgment or choosing friends (Girard, Floccia, & Goslin, 2008; Kinzler, Shutts, DeJesus, & Spelke, 2009; Rakić, Steffens, & Mummendey, 2011). And accent is linked to various types of stigma and profiling (Baugh, 1999; Gluszek & Dovidio, 2010a). Besides accent, other linguistic markers of identity include the use of vocabulary, code-mixing, and code-switching, as well as the choice of one language or dialect variety over another, all linked to speakers' expression of identity through language (e.g., Bailey, 2000; Lo, 1999; Rajadurai, 2007; Rampton, 2005; Reyes, 2005).

The use of language, including the L2, to project a certain kind of ethnic identity is not at all a simple matter, both in terms of the linguistic repertoires employed and the identities construed. For instance, the Italian Canadians studied by Giampapa (2001) showed versatility in their language choice, their code-mixing of dialects, and their use of specific lexical expressions to project different identities in various settings. Studying Polish immigrants in the United Kingdom, Temple (2010) showed how these speakers constructed multiple, complementary identities in various life domains (home, work, education) and projected different ethnic selves through their use of Polish and English with various interlocutors (family members, friends, coworkers). In fact, complex, hybrid identities appear to be the hallmark of bilingual and multilingual language use, with such identities often constructed as a way of coping with adverse circumstances, such as discrimination experiences or immigration and settlement struggles (e.g., Oropesa, Landale, & Greif, 2008).

Ethnic identities revealed through language appear to be mutually constructed by all interactants and embedded in the particular contexts of language use. For example, in Miller's (1999) study of three migrant children learning English in Australia, the children constructed complex ethnic and personal selves that were shaped by their immediate environment. These identity expressions ranged from espousing a common "we don't speak English" identity in a migrant reception center to being marginalized as nonnative speakers relegated to talking only to other speakers of the same ethnic tongue in initial years of high school. The identity–language link is also often characterized by human agency, in that projecting certain identities through language may gain speakers access to—or instead prevent them from using—the needed resources to advance their goals. For example, Cervatiuc (2009) studied 20 academically and professionally successful immigrants to Canada from a variety of ethnic backgrounds. She identified several strategies pursued by her participants, such as seeking and gaining access to social interaction with members of the host community and other successful immigrants. Most notably, successful L2 users created a hybrid identity that embraced both their ethnic and target cultures, suggesting that successful learning experiences might be tied to the adoption of multilingual, multicultural identities (see Norton & McKinney, 2011).

#### ETHNIC IDENTITY AND L2 LEARNING: EXPLORING THE RELATIONSHIP

Compared to a rich body of literature documenting identity–language links, there is relatively little research documenting how speakers' identification with their own ethnic group and with the target community might relate to L2 development, with most research relying on learners' self-ratings of their L2 ability collected at a single time. At least hypothetically, in line with Lambert's additive bilingualism or integration pattern, language users might embrace L2 learning to become bilingual and bicultural. In contrast, illustrating Lambert's subtractive bilingualism or

assimilation pattern, language users may acquire the language of a majority group and lose their own language and culture. Or language users may refrain (overtly or covertly) from acquiring an L2, especially if they experience threat to the survival of their ethnic group. Alternatively, language users' sense of ethnic belonging to a particular group might have little bearing on the rate and ultimate success of their L2 learning.

### *Positive learning outcomes*

One common finding across several learning contexts is that L2 users can successfully maintain the ethnic identity of their primary (home) group while acquiring an L2, suggesting that they can adopt a new cultural identity as part of L2 learning. For example, Henning-Lindblom and Liebkind (2007) surveyed 291 Swedish-speaking youths in Finland and found that better Finnish (L2) skills and more extensive Finnish interaction networks were linked to the speakers' increased identification with the target L2 group. Most importantly, speaking Finnish as the L2 did not subtract from the speakers' Swedish identity; in fact, the youths appeared to hold multiple simultaneous identities of bilinguals, Swedish speakers, and Finnish nationals without major adverse effects of these identities on each other (see also Noels & Clément, 1996; Noels, Pon, & Clément, 1996). In Israel, Ellinger (2000) showed a positive association between Russian and Hebrew learners' strength of ethnolinguistic identification and L2 (English) achievement levels. In essence, a stronger sense of identification with the home group was associated with higher L2 proficiency scores. A similar positive association was reported by Coupland, Bishop, Williams, Evans, and Garrett (2005) for Welsh high school students in Wales.

One possibility is that L2 learning is most efficient when speakers espouse a double-positive orientation, that is, a favorable view of their own ethnic group and of the L2 community. For example, Gatbonton and Trofimovich (2008) showed that those native French speakers of L2 English in Quebec who expressed willingness to be identified as both Canadian and French Canadian were the speakers with the highest self-rated L2 ability scores. In a recent study of Kurdish learners of Turkish in Turkey, using listener-rated measures of L2 accent, Polat and Schallert (2013) reported that the most successful learners included either those who demonstrated strong identification with their home and L2 groups or those who strongly affiliated themselves with the L2 group. The disadvantaged group included the speakers who were singly oriented towards their home ethnic group.

These findings thus imply that a double-positive orientation towards the home and the target groups is a helpful but not a required condition for learners to show strengths at least in some aspects of the L2. For example, in the context of learning a heritage language (Korean) by Korean Americans in the United States, a stronger sense of orientation towards the Korean culture was associated with stronger Korean competence (Kang & Kim, 2012). And for ethnically Russian adolescents in Germany, shifting language use patterns from Russian to L2 German was tied to holding positive feelings towards the L2 community, while identifying

less strongly with the home culture (Michel, Titzmann, & Silbereisen, 2012). Therefore, what seems to matter for L2 learning and use, at least in some contexts, is a positive outlook towards the target L2 group.

### *Negative learning outcomes*

In some cases, issues of ethnic identity seem to contribute negatively to L2 learning, implying that language users may not achieve the level of L2 performance that might otherwise be possible. One example comes from the study by Paladino et al. (2009), who explored the effect of stereotype threat, which refers to a negative judgment about a social group, on Italian-dominant speakers in an Italian-German bilingual community in Italy. Italian speakers underperformed in written and oral tests of German (their L2) after a negative stereotypical judgment about the German language abilities of their ethnic group was voiced explicitly and even when such a judgment was only implied. This suggests that perceived negative attitudes about one's social group, especially when they concern language, may negatively impact L2 performance. This result is also in line with an early finding that, for native French speakers of L2 English in Quebec, a higher degree of perceived threat to the survival of their home (French) group was associated with lower L2 (English) proficiency (Taylor, Meynard, & Rhéault, 1977). In essence, lower-than-expected L2 performance might not only be linked to perceived threat to a group's vitality but also be tied to perceived negative attitudes.

Further evidence that perceived negative attitudes about L2 speakers' ethnic groups might negatively impact L2 learning comes from a series of recent studies by Gluszek and colleagues. In a U.S. survey of native and nonnative speakers, Gluszek and Dovidio (2010b) showed that, compared to speakers of regional and standard dialects, foreign-accented speakers demonstrated greater problems in communication and greater perception of stigmatization from the L2 community. Most importantly, compared to other groups, foreign-accented speakers felt less affiliated with the United States, with stronger accents linked to the feeling of not belonging to the United States. In a follow-up study, Gluszek, Newheiser, and Dovidio (2011) employed causal modeling to show that, for nonnative speakers of English, identification with the American culture was tied to perceived L2 accent strength, such that weaker identification with the L2 group was associated with more accented L2 speech (see also Moyer, 2007). Perceived negative attitudes or stigmatization from the L2 community might thus contribute to language users' increased sense of being an outsider as well as to their less-than-ideal L2 performance.

One aspect of the ethnic identity construct that appears most amenable to negative associations with L2 learning is speakers' sociopolitical views concerning their identity. For example, for native French speakers of English in Quebec, where French and English are respectively majority and minority languages, speakers with the strongest sovereigntist views (e.g., Quebec becoming an independent nation) were judged as being most accented as well as least comprehensible, fluent, and proficient overall in their L2 (Gatbonton & Trofimovich, 2008). Speakers with the strongest sovereigntist views were also those who performed poorly

in terms of overall pronunciation accuracy in producing a particular segment (English /ð/) and demonstrated the lowest level of phonological development attained with this target (Gatbonton, Trofimovich, & Segalowitz, 2011). A similar negative relationship emerged for ethnic Latvians speaking L2 Russian in Latvia, where Latvian is the majority and Russian is a minority language. Ethnic Latvian speakers with a stronger sense of ethnic identity and stronger political views towards the Latvian language were also those with lower self-rated L2 (Russian) ability (Trofimovich, Turuševa, & Gatbonton, 2013). As argued previously, at least in part, these negative associations might be attributed to perceived threat to the vitality of the speakers' home group. Additionally, French speakers of L2 English in Quebec appear to link L2 proficiency with loss of loyalty to the home group (Gatbonton, Trofimovich, & Magid, 2005), so fear of being labeled a traitor to the ethnic group might deter some speakers from attaining the level of L2 skill that would otherwise be possible.

Apart from linguistic consequences for L2 performance, negative associations of ethnic identity with L2 learning might lead to certain behavioral choices. For instance, in a study of Iranian immigrants in the United States, language preference, which correlates both with acculturation strength (Noels et al., 1996) and language proficiency (Brenneman, Moris, & Israelian, 2007), was found to be associated with immigrants' desire to either stay or leave the United States. In essence, immigrants who preferred to read study materials in their ethnic language (Persian) were more likely to express a desire to repatriate to their country of birth, compared to those who chose the English version of the materials (Hojat, Foroughi, Mahmoudi, & Holakouee, 2010), suggesting that the identity–language link might be tied to behaviors with rather dramatic consequences for language learning and use. Yet ethnic beliefs need not always be in line with behavioral choices. For instance, even though Chinese speakers of L2 English in Quebec ascribed less loyalty to peers speaking better English, compared to those who spoke English more poorly, these speakers would still choose nonaccented peers as leaders for events in mono- and bi-ethnic situations (Gatbonton et al., 2005). Thus, speakers seem to balance the costs of maintaining loyalty to their group with the rewards associated with nativelike L2 ability (see also Goldberg & Noels, 2006).

### *No association*

It might come as no surprise that ethnic identity might bear little relationship with L2 performance, at least in certain contexts and for some L2 speakers. For example, Diehl and Schnell (2006) analyzed extensive self-report data from first- and second-generation immigrants to Germany over a 17-year period. Across time, most immigrants showed an increase in their self-identification with the German culture (feeling totally German), along with a marked decrease in their identification with the home group (feeling totally like a member of the country of origin), especially in the second generation. However, immigrants' L2 skills appeared to “stagnate” over time, meaning that first- and second-generation speakers estimated their German ability (speaking German well) as high in the 1980s as they did in early 2000s, although again second-generation speakers rated themselves higher

than their parents' generation. Although these data are not longitudinal, they nevertheless imply that positive self-identification with the L2 group need not go hand-in-hand with improved L2 skills.

Besides, not all elements of the ethnic identity construct should matter to L2 development, or should matter to the same degree. For example, the same French speakers of English who showed negative links between the strength of their sovereigntist views and various measures of L2 ability demonstrated no associations between measures of L2 ability and other aspects of their ethnic identity, such as pride in knowing the group's history, in displaying its symbols and learning its language, and in willingness to defend the group (Gatbonton & Trofimovich, 2008; Gatbonton et al., 2011). This suggests that speakers might weigh certain aspects of ethnic identity more highly than others. In the context of Latvia, unlike ethnic Latvians, Russian speakers showed no negative associations between L2 Latvian ability and their ethnic identity as Russian speakers, with the consequence that a stronger sense of ethnic identification through language was unrelated to their L2 (Latvian) ability (Trofimovich et al., 2013). As a linguistic and political minority in Latvia, ethnic Russians may have preferred not to associate their strong ethnic beliefs with the ability to speak L2 Latvian, perhaps both to maintain a strong ethnic identity and to gain access to the socioeconomic benefits associated with speaking the country's official language. These results highlight the situation-specific nature of ethnic identity, implying that different contexts might be characterized by a separate set of ethnic identity dimensions and that even the same context might not engage all possible dimensions of ethnic identity for every speaker or group in every communicative transaction.

In concluding this section, it needs to be emphasized that associations between ethnic identity and L2 learning are likely much more complex than portrayed here, as identity–language links appear to depend on generational differences (e.g., Noels, Leavitt, & Clément, 2010), speakers' gender (e.g., Polat & Mahalingappa, 2010), and other situational and contextual factors, such as speakers' country of origin, language distance, political climate in the home and host societies, and prior language learning histories (e.g., McCrone & Bechhofer, 2008; van Tubergen & Kalmijn, 2005; Vedder & Virta, 2005). These associations might also vary as a function of research instruments used to assess them, for example, depending on the language of the interview or the questionnaire employed (Harzing, 2006), or the type of instrument used, such as explicit tests or implicit measures (Pantos & Perkins, 2012). In sum, the precise contribution of various contextual factors to the identity–language link needs to be clarified in future research.

#### IDENTITY–LANGUAGE LINK: RECIPROCAL AND SELF-REINFORCING?

The discussion of the identity–language links in L2 learning thus far has shown that beliefs about ethnic identity might be linked to either positive or negative aspects of L2 performance, or might reveal no such relationships altogether. However, underlying these relationships was the assumption that they operate in a

particular direction, namely, from identity expression to L2 learning and use. Because most social-psychological research on identity is based on correlations, with ethnographic or observational identity research similarly implying associations (e.g., Yip, 2005), it is only reasonable to hypothesize that the identity–language links might function in the opposite direction as well, from L2 learning and use to one’s identity expression.

It is as yet unclear how L2 learning might shape the strength or the breadth of one’s ethnic identity beliefs. For example, Ersanilli and Koopmans (2010) compared Turkish immigrants to France, Germany, and the Netherlands using several cultural integration and linguistic measures collected through a telephone survey. They found that Turkish immigrants in France and Germany, where several linguistic and cultural preconditions to naturalization exist, showed greater identification with the target group and demonstrated higher L2 proficiency and more extensive L2 use, compared to Turkish immigrants in the Netherlands, where (until recently) no preconditions for naturalization existed. In essence, these data might support the idea that higher L2 proficiency (which is required for obtaining citizenship in France and Germany) might be linked to increased identification with the L2 group, such that improving L2 skills also enhances one’s sense of belonging to the L2 community (see also Ersanilli & Koopmans, 2011; Henning-Lindblom & Liebkind, 2007).

In a recent analysis of large-scale survey data targeting first-generation immigrants in Germany, Hochman and Davidov (2014) tested both hypotheses simultaneously, namely, that immigrants’ linguistic integration influences the strength of their identification with the German group and that the strength of ethnic integration has a backward effect on immigrants’ German proficiency. These researchers obtained only one significant association, with German language proficiency influencing the strength of immigrants’ identification with the German group. The reverse effect, whereby ethnic identification influences L2 proficiency, was weak mainly because immigrants’ L2 skills improved little over time. It appears, then, that a certain threshold of L2 skills might be needed for speakers to feel and subsequently enrich a sense of belonging to the target group. Yet a greater sense of belonging might not always translate into higher L2 proficiency, likely because of difficulties of the immigrant experience, in which extensive language learning often takes a back seat to more pressing obligations, such as securing employment and caring for children (Temple, 2010).

At least one way to conceptualize the identity–language relationship might be to assume that they could be described in terms of reciprocal causation, meaning that potential links are running in both directions. In psychological research, reciprocal causation could be described in terms of Matthew effects, after a Biblical quote from Matthew: “For everyone who has will be given more, and he will have an abundance. Whoever does not have, even what he has will be taken from him” (Matthew 25:29). The Matthew effect is defined as “the amplification of any initial advantage (e.g., economic resources, health status, cognitive ability) that leads to cumulative differences that widen preexisting gaps” (Ceci & Papierno, 2005, p. 149). Put differently, an early success with a particular skill gives an individual

an advantage later, compared to individuals who do not have an advantageous experience with this skill early on.

It is possible that the relationship between language users' ethnic identity and their L2 development (at least ideally) also involves self-reinforcing bidirectional processes described by Matthew effects. Those speakers who reach a certain threshold of L2 skills are often those who readily avail themselves of different opportunities to use the L2 because understanding and producing language cause them little difficulty. Opportunities for L2 use, in turn, provide these speakers with ample experiences for cross-cultural contact, leading to appreciation of members of the target ethnic group and its culture and to the sense of inclusion in L2 cultural networks, which fosters language development further through opportunities of authentic language use (see Clément 1980; Clément, Baker, & MacIntyre, 2003). At least some aspects of this positive learning–identity loop have been supported by Rubinfeld, Clément, Lussier, Lebrun, and Auger (2006) in the context of French–English bilingualism in Canada. In contrast, other speakers for whom L2 skills pose difficulty will avoid opportunities to use their L2 precisely because listening and speaking prove to be a laborious and grueling task. These speakers might overtly or covertly project negative emotions onto the L2 community, particularly when their home group's identity is threatened, ascribing negative feelings to the L2 group's members, which in turn would deter them from further contact with the group and from further opportunities for language development.

Whether or not relationships between ethnic identity and L2 learning fit with Matthew effects, one factor that seems to underpin these associations is language use, such that the link between identity and L2 learning might be instantiated through experience with language. There is indeed evidence that various aspects of the language use factor might mediate L2 learning–identity links. For example, for native French speakers of L2 English in Quebec, the associations between ethnic identity factors and various measures of L2 performance vanished after the speakers' self-reported amount of L2 use was partialled out, suggesting that the identity–language link was mediated through L2 use (Gatbonton & Trofimovich, 2008; Gatbonton et al., 2011). Moreover, several factors appear to contribute to speakers' sense of belonging to the L2 community, such as frequency and amount of contact with the L2 group (Clément et al., 2003), breadth of L2 social networks (Michel et al., 2012), amount of access to L2 written and audiovisual media (Clément, Baker, Josephson, & Noels, 2005), and duration of residence in the L2 community (Walters, Phythian, & Anisef, 2007). Perceived communication difficulties, which arguably represent one aspects of interactive language use, seem to mediate the link between speakers' L2 accent and strength of their identification with the L2 society (Gluszek et al., 2011).

#### ETHNIC IDENTITY FROM A SOCIOCOGNITIVE PERSPECTIVE

The preceding discussion—and especially our brief contextualization of the ethnic identity construct—makes it abundantly clear that identity is a complex socially

constructed phenomenon. Therefore, one challenge for L2 researchers is to conceptualize ethnic identity within testable theoretical frameworks that ideally could intersect social and cognitive dimensions of L2 learning, linking both the learner (as a social and a cognitive agent) and the social context of learning to L2 learning outcomes. In this section, we describe several potential frameworks that might fit this requirement, while also acknowledging that the identity construct might require far greater contextualization than what is possible through a single theoretical lens.

One proposal that seems promising in this regard is the sociocognitive approach (Atkinson, 2011). This approach assumes that language development is driven by a dynamic interaction between the mind, body, and world. In essence, people's cognitive states, such as person-specific individual variables and mental representations (i.e., the mind), are instantiated in overt behaviors, such as bodily actions, orientations, or emotions (i.e., the body), which are in turn fully embedded in particular social contexts (i.e., the world). Language is seen as an instrument of social action, as a flexible and adaptable tool of making a change in a given social environment (e.g., purchasing tickets or brokering ceasefire). Language development is conceptualized as a gradual, interactive adaptivity or alignment of the learner with a social and cognitive learning environment. For example, a speaker might align with an interlocutor within a given cross-cultural transaction in terms of the complexity of utterances, body gestures, voice volume, and rate of speech (Atkinson, Churchill, Nishino, & Okada, 2007; Churchill, Okada, Nishino, & Atkinson, 2010). This view of learning as social and cognitive alignment, which is compatible with both cognitive research on interactive alignment (Garrod & Pickering, 2009) and social-psychological research on social accommodation (Giles, 2012), appears to be promising for conceptualizing identity–language links. For instance, it may be possible to study how L2 speakers align with their interlocutors (or fail to do so) in how they position their ethnic identity in interaction and how such alignment (or lack thereof) might impact L2 learning and use (for examples of alignment research, see Trofimovich, 2013).

Another possible framework for conceptualizing identity–language links includes dynamic systems theory (de Bot, Lowie, & Verspoor, 2007), which views language learning as an iterative (repetitive) process characterized by variability both within and across individuals. This process occurs on many time scales (e.g., within an interaction, across lessons, during semesters of coursework, throughout years of language experience) and features a number of developmental stages (called attractor states). Van Geert, Steenbeek, and van Dijk (2011) applied this theory to account for socially mediated L2 learning, thus encompassing both cognitive and social aspects of L2 development. In this model, language development occurs through the interaction between novices (typically, learners) and experts (e.g., teachers), with learning determined by the interplay between the situation-specific goals of the learner (e.g., the need to acquire certain knowledge, to exert less effort in learning, and to preserve aspects of own ethnic identity) and the goals of the teacher (e.g., the need to complete certain learning tasks, to motivate learners, and to satisfy requirements from the employer or the curriculum).

Learning is thus conceptualized within this model as a continuous, dynamic adaptation of teacher and learner behaviors, which can both influence and be influenced by ethnic identity beliefs, with teachers adapting their actions to the perceived needs and sociocognitive states of learners.

Other theoretical views for conceptualizing L2 development and ethnic identity include the willingness-to-communicate framework, which embraces several cognitive, social, and experiential factors to explain learner desire to engage in L2 communication (MacIntyre, Clément, Dörnyei, & Noels, 1998), as well as the social context model (Clément 1980), which focuses on intergroup contact targeting such factors as L2 confidence, competence, and identity. In a version of this model, frequency and quality of L2 contact are linked to L2 confidence, which is in turn related to both willingness to communicate and identity, which are both linked to frequency of L2 use (Clément et al., 2003). Segalowitz, Gatabonton, and Trofimovich (2009) proposed a conceptualization of L2 pronunciation learning that includes cognitive and social influences. In this view, ethnic identity is part of a larger motivation system that determines whether learners engage in L2 use (for details on motivation–identity links, see Csizér & Magid, 2014). Language use is important because it provides learners with opportunities to tune their perceptual and cognitive systems for the processing of L2 input. This cognitive and perceptual tuning is driven by psycholinguistic variables, which include frequency (i.e., how often a given pronunciation target occurs in an L2) and cross-language similarity (i.e., perceptual differences between learners' two languages that determine the ease or difficulty of certain aspects of L2 pronunciation). In essence, ethnic identity and motivational variables shape particular patterns of L2 use, and use in turn impacts language learning outcomes by allowing learners to practice their cognitive processing skills through L2 input and/or output.

#### EXPANDING CURRENT RESEARCH AND MOVING FORWARD

Theoretical frameworks aside, research on ethnic identity has been and continues to be a productive area of applied linguistic research. With a view towards the future, it might be worthwhile to identify some research strands that seem particularly promising, especially in terms of their reach beyond language learning. One such strand involves the study of how ethnic and professional identities of language users intersect in various professional domains. For instance, Harrison (2013) studied nonnative social workers in Australia, documenting how their ethnolinguistic identity was often perceived as a detriment to their credibility and depreciated their knowledge and skills. Harrison also problematized the incompatibility of the official “equality” and “diversity” discourses espoused by governments and businesses and individual language users' sense of exclusion and marginalization, which further highlights that the identity–language links may have far-reaching, and often detrimental, social and economic consequences for language users (e.g., being passed over for promotion). In another study, Kokaliari, Catanzarite, and Berzoff

(2013) examined psychotherapists' perception of the role of language in treatment of bilingual patients, showing that patterns of language choice in therapy sessions were closely tied with expression of identity, both ethnic and personal, and were linked to strong affect expressions, death, and trauma. In the medical domain, a cancer patient's ethnicity (being originally from outside the English-speaking world) might even add to this patient's clinical distress during treatment (Thomas, Carlson, & Bultz, 2009), suggesting that ethnolinguistic discourse surrounding physical or psychological trauma related to illness, natural disasters, or military conflicts might be critical to people's well-being (see Higgins & Norton, 2010; Kaldor, 2013).

Among other promising agendas for expanding ethnic identity research are investigations of identity–language links in cases of language revitalization or loss, targeting such socially and economically vulnerable populations as, for example, aboriginal or Native American speakers in North America (e.g., Peltier, 2010). Also seemingly underresearched are ethnic identities of classroom language learners and teachers, with the consequence that identity issues need to be considered alongside other social, cognitive, pedagogical, and linguistic factors in classroom L2 teaching (e.g., Ajayi, 2011; Choi & Yi, 2012). Additionally, sociolinguists might continue elaborating how ethnic identity shapes variability in linguistic patterns across various domains of language (e.g., Hall-Lew & Yaeger-Dror, 2014). Researchers working within lingua-franca perspectives might examine how ethnic identity interacts with speakers' construction of pan-linguistic and pan-cultural identities in lingua-franca contexts (e.g., MacKenzie, 2009), and scholars working within sociocultural frameworks might continue problematizing the links between ethnic identity and such notions as power, capital, and desire, as they relate to language learning and use (e.g., Chowdhury & Phan, 2014; Motha & Lin, 2014).

Last but not least, a most promising (and perhaps a very rewarding) area of research appears to be related to the relationship between the expression of ethnic identity, language use, and discrimination and stigma (e.g., linguistic profiling). The social power of this research lies not only in its potential to help raise awareness of discrimination and stigma in various research, business, and professional communities (e.g., Collins & Clément, 2012; Gluszek & Dovidio, 2010a), but also in its value for suggesting possible ways of eradicating or minimizing discriminatory practices faced by bilingual and multilingual populations. Researchers have documented several interventions, such as perspective taking, which might reduce discriminatory judgment (Hansen, Rakić, & Steffens, 2014; Kang, Rubin, & Lindemann, 2014; Weyant, 2007).

We conclude this article targeting the relationship between ethnic identity and language by referring back to Mari Matsuda's (1991) impassioned plea against intolerance based on ethnic prejudices. Matsuda argued that "accent tolerance suggests a radically pluralistic re-visioning of ... identity" (p. 1403). This view deeply resonates with many (if not all) of those who are involved in language research and practice communities because it once again emphasizes that matters

of language learning, teaching, and use are defined through multiplicity of voices, identities, languages, and beliefs.

#### ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY

Cervatiuc, A. (2009). Identity, good language learning, and adult immigrants in Canada. *Journal of Language, Identity & Education*, 8, 254–271. doi:[10.1080/15348450903130439](https://doi.org/10.1080/15348450903130439)

Academically and professionally successful immigrants to Canada created hybrid identities that embraced both their ethnic and target cultures; immigrants sought and gained access to social interaction with members of the host community and other successful immigrants.

Gatbonton, E., Trofimovich, P., & Segalowitz, N. (2011). Ethnic group affiliation and pattern of development of a phonological variable. *Modern Language Journal*, 95, 188–204. doi:[10.1111/j.1540-4781.2011.01177.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4781.2011.01177.x)

Native French speakers of L2 English in Quebec showed associations between their sense of ethnic identity and several measures of L2 pronunciation learning, with such associations mediated through amount of self-reported L2 use.

Gluszek, A., Newheiser, A.-K., & Dovidio, J. F. (2011). Social psychological orientations and accent strength. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 30, 28–45. doi:[10.1177/0261927X10387100](https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927X10387100)

For nonnative speakers of English in the United States, lack of identification with the American culture was tied to perceived L2 accent strength, perceived communication difficulties, and lack of social belonging to the United States.

Rubinfeld, S., Clément, R., Lussier, D., Lebrun, M., & Auger, R. (2006). Second language learning and cultural representations: Beyond competence and identity. *Language Learning*, 56, 609–631. doi:[10.1111/j.1467-9922.2006.00390.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9922.2006.00390.x)

For both L2 English and French speakers in Canada, experience with L2 learning was associated with more positive views of the target L2 society.

#### REFERENCES

- Ajayi, L. (2011). Exploring how ESL teachers relate their ethnic and social backgrounds to practice. *Race, Ethnicity and Education*, 14, 253–275. doi:[10.1080/13613324.2010.488900](https://doi.org/10.1080/13613324.2010.488900)
- Ashmore, R. D., Deaux, K., & McLaughlin-Volpe, T. (2004). An organizing framework for collective identity: Articulation and significance of multidimensionality. *Psychological Bulletin*, 130, 80–114. doi:[10.1037/0033-2909.130.1.80](https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.130.1.80)
- Atkinson, D. (2011). A sociocognitive approach to second language acquisition: How mind, body, and world work together in learning additional languages. In D. Atkinson (ed.), *Alternative approaches to second language acquisition* (pp. 143–166). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Atkinson, D., Churchill, E., Nishino, T., & Okada, H. (2007). Alignment and interaction in a sociocognitive approach to second language acquisition. *Modern Language Journal*, 91, 169–188. doi:[10.1111/j.1540-4781.2007.00539.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4781.2007.00539.x)
- Bailey, B. (2000). Language and negotiation of ethnic/racial identity among Dominican Americans. *Language in Society*, 29, 555–582. doi:[10.1017/S0047404500004036](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0047404500004036)
- Baugh, J. (1999). *Out of the mouths of slaves: African American Language and educational malpractice*. Austin: University of Texas Press.
- Block, D. (2007). *Second language identities*. London, UK: Continuum.
- Boberg, C. (2004). Ethnic patterns in the phonetics of Montreal English. *Journal of Sociolinguistics*, 8, 538–568. doi:[10.1111/j.1467-9841.2004.00273.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9841.2004.00273.x)
- Breneman, M. H., Moris, R. D., & Israelian, M. (2007). Language preference and its relationship with reading skills in English and Spanish. *Psychology in Schools*, 44, 171–181. doi:[10.1002/pits.20214](https://doi.org/10.1002/pits.20214)
- Cameron, J. E. (2004). A three-factor model of social identity. *Self and Identity*, 3, 239–262. doi:[10.1080/13576500440000047](https://doi.org/10.1080/13576500440000047)

- Ceci, S. J., & Papierno, P. B. (2005). The rhetoric and reality of gap closing: When the “have-nots” gain but the “haves” gain even more. *American Psychologist*, *60*, 149–160. doi:[10.1037/0003-066X.60.2.149](https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.60.2.149)
- Cervatiuc, A. (2009). Identity, good language learning, and adult immigrants in Canada. *Journal of Language, Identity & Education*, *8*, 254–271. doi:[10.1080/15348450903130439](https://doi.org/10.1080/15348450903130439)
- Choi, J., & Yi, Y. (2012). The use and role of pop culture in heritage language learning: A study of advanced learners of Korean. *Foreign Language Annals*, *45*, 110–129. doi:[10.1111/j.1944-9720.2012.01165.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1944-9720.2012.01165.x)
- Chowdhury, R., & Phan, L. H. (2014). *Desiring TESOL and international education: Market abuse and exploitation*. Bristol, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- Churchill, E., Okada, H., Nishino, T., & Atkinson, D. (2010). Symbiotic gesture and the sociocognitive visibility of grammar in second language acquisition. *Modern Language Journal*, *94*, 234–253. doi:[10.1111/j.1540-4781.2010.01019.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4781.2010.01019.x)
- Clément, R. (1980). Ethnicity, contact and communicative competence in a second language. In H. Giles, W. P. Robinson, & P. M. Smith (eds.), *Language: Social psychological perspectives* (pp. 147–154). Oxford, UK: Pergamon.
- Clément, R., Baker, S. C., & MacIntyre, P. D. (2003). Willingness to communicate in a second language: The effects of context, norms and vitality. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, *22*, 190–209. doi:[10.1177/0261927X03022002003](https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927X03022002003)
- Clément, R., Baker, S. C., Josephson, G., & Noels, K. A. (2005). Media effects on ethnic identity among linguistic majorities and minorities: A longitudinal study of a bilingual setting. *Human Communication Research*, *31*, 399–422. doi:[10.1111/j.1468-2958.2005.tb00877.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2958.2005.tb00877.x)
- Collins, K. A., & Clément, R. (2012). Language and prejudice: Direct and moderated effects. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, *31*, 376–396. doi:[10.1177/0261927X12446611](https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927X12446611)
- Coupland, N., Bishop, H., Williams, A., Evans, B., & Garrett, P. (2005). Affiliation, engagement, language use and vitality: Secondary school students’ subjective orientations to Welsh and Welshness. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, *8*, 1–24. doi:[10.1080/jBEB.v8.i1.pg1](https://doi.org/10.1080/jBEB.v8.i1.pg1)
- Csizér, K., & Magid, M. (2014). *The impact of self-concept on language learning*. Bristol, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- de Bot, K., Lowie, W., & Verspoor, M. (2007). A dynamic systems theory approach to second language acquisition. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition*, *10*, 7–21. doi:[10.1017/S1366728906002732](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1366728906002732)
- Diehl, C., & Schnell, R. (2006). “Reactive ethnicity” or “assimilation”? Statements, arguments, and first empirical evidence for labor migrants in Germany. *International Migration Review*, *40*, 786–816. doi:[10.1111/j.1747-7379.2006.00044.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-7379.2006.00044.x)
- Edwards, J. (2009). *Language and identity*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Ellinger, B. (2000). The relationship between ethnolinguistic identity and English language for native Russian speakers and native Hebrew speakers in Israel. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*, *21*, 292–307. doi:[10.1080/01434630008666406](https://doi.org/10.1080/01434630008666406)
- Ersanilli, E., & Koopmans, R. (2010). Rewarding integration? Citizenship regulations and the socio-cultural integration of immigrants in the Netherlands, France and Germany. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, *36*, 773–791. doi:[10.1080/13691831003764318](https://doi.org/10.1080/13691831003764318)
- Ersanilli, E., & Koopmans, R. (2011). Do immigrant integration policies matter? A three-country comparison among Turkish immigrants. *West European Politics*, *34*, 208–234. doi:[10.1080/01402382.2011.546568](https://doi.org/10.1080/01402382.2011.546568)
- Fought, C. (2006). *Language and ethnicity: Key topics in sociolinguistics*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Garrod, S., & Pickering, M. J. (2009). Joint action, interactive alignment, and dialog. *Topics in Cognitive Science*, *1*, 292–304. doi:[10.1111/j.1756-8765.2009.01020.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1756-8765.2009.01020.x)
- Gatbonton, E., & Trofimovich, P. (2008). The ethnic group affiliation and L2 proficiency link: Empirical evidence. *Language Awareness*, *17*, 229–248. doi:[10.1080/09658410802146867](https://doi.org/10.1080/09658410802146867)
- Gatbonton, E., Trofimovich, P., & Magid, M. (2005). Learners’ ethnic group loyalty and L2 pronunciation accuracy: A sociolinguistic investigation. *TESOL Quarterly*, *39*, 489–511. doi:[10.2307/3588491](https://doi.org/10.2307/3588491)
- Gatbonton, E., Trofimovich, P., & Segalowitz, N. (2011). Ethnic group affiliation and pattern of development of a phonological variable. *Modern Language Journal*, *95*, 188–204. doi:[10.1111/j.1540-4781.2011.01177.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4781.2011.01177.x)
- Giampapa, F. (2001). Hyphenated identities: Italian-Canadian youth and the negotiated ethnic identities in Toronto. *International Journal of Bilingualism*, *5*, 279–315. doi:[10.1177/13670069010050030301](https://doi.org/10.1177/13670069010050030301)
- Giles, H. (ed.). (2012). *Handbook of intergroup communication*. New York, NY: Routledge.

- Girard, F., Floccia, C., & Goslin, J. (2008). Perception and awareness of accents in young children. *British Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 26, 409–433. doi:10.1348/026151007X251712
- Gluszek, A., & Dovidio, J. F. (2010a). The way they speak: A social psychological perspective on the stigma of non-native accents in communication. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 14, 214–237. doi:10.1177/1088868309359288
- Gluszek, A., & Dovidio, J. F. (2010b). Speaking with a nonnative accent: Perceptions of bias, communication difficulties, and belonging in the United States. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 29, 224–234. doi:10.1177/0261927X09359590
- Gluszek, A., Newheiser, A.-K., & Dovidio, J. F. (2011). Social psychological orientations and accent strength. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 30, 28–45. doi:10.1177/0261927X10387100
- Goldberg, E., & Noels, K. A. (2006). Motivation, ethnic identity, and post-secondary education language choices of graduates of intensive French language programs. *Canadian Modern Language Review*, 62, 423–447. doi:10.3138/cmlr.62.3.423
- Gordon, M. J. (2000). Phonological correlates of ethnic identity: Evidence of divergence? *American Speech*, 75, 115–136. doi:10.1215/00031283-75-2-115
- Hall-Lew, L., & Yaeger-Dror, M. (eds.). (2014). New perspectives on linguistic variation and ethnic identity in North America [Special issue]. *Language & Communication*, 35, 1–96.
- Hansen, K., Rakić, T., & Steffens, M. C. (2014). When actions speak louder than words: Preventing discrimination of nonstandard speakers. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 33, 68–77. doi:10.1177/0261927X13499761
- Harrison, G. (2013). “Oh, you’ve got such a strong accent”: Language identity intersecting with professional identity in the human services in Australia. *International Migration*, 51, 192–204. doi:10.1111/imig.12005
- Harzing, A.-W. (2006). Response styles in cross-national survey research: A 26-country study. *International Journal of Cross Cultural Management*, 6, 243–266. doi:10.1177/1470595806066332
- Henning-Lindblom, A., & Liebkind, K. (2007). Objective ethnolinguistic vitality and identity among Swedish-speaking youth. *International Journal of the Sociology of Language*, 187/188, 161–183. doi:10.1515/IJSL.2007.054
- Higgins, C., & Norton, B. (eds.). (2010). *Language and HIV/AIDS*. Bristol, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- Hochman, O., & Davidov, E. (2014). Relations between second-language proficiency and national identification: The case of immigrants in Germany. *European Sociological Review*, 30, 344–359. doi:10.1093/esr/jcu043
- Hojat, M., Foroughi, D., Mahmoudi, H., & Holakouee, F. (2010). A desire to return to the country of birth as a function of language preference: An empirical study with Iranian immigrants in the United States. *International Migration*, 48, 158–173. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2435.2009.00550.x
- Hulstijn, J. H., Young, R. F., Ortega, L., Bigelow, M., DeKeyser, R., Ellis, N. C., ... Talmy, S. (2014). Bridging the gap: Cognitive and social approaches to research in second language learning and teaching. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 36, 361–421. doi:10.1017/S0272263114000035
- Kaldor, M. (2013). Identity and war. *Global Policy*, 4, 336–346. doi:10.1111/1758-5899.12084
- Kang, H.-S., & Kim, I.-S. (2012). Perceived and actual competence and ethnic identity in heritage language learning: A case of Korean-American college students. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, 15, 279–294. doi:10.1080/13670050.2011.626846
- Kang, O., Rubin, D., & Lindemann, S. (2014). Mitigating U.S. undergraduates’ attitudes toward international teaching assistants. *TESOL Quarterly*. Published online. doi:10.1002/tesq.192
- Kinzler, K. D., Dupoux, E., & Spelke, E. S. (2007). The native language of social cognition. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 104, 12577–12580. doi:10.1073/pnas.0705345104
- Kinzler, K. D., Shutts, K., DeJesus, J., & Spelke, E. S. (2009). Accent trumps race in guiding children’s social preferences. *Social Cognition*, 27, 623–634. doi:10.1521/soco.2009.27.4.623
- Kokaliari, E., Catanzarite, G., & Berzoff, J. (2013). It is called a mother tongue for a reason: A qualitative study of therapists’ perspectives on bilingual psychotherapy—treatment implications. *Smith College Studies in Social Work*, 83, 97–118. doi:10.1080/00377317.2013.747396
- Labov, W. (1972). On the mechanism of language change. In J. J. Gumperz & D. Hymes (eds.), *Directions in sociolinguistics* (pp. 312–338). New York, NY: Holt, Rinehart & Winston.
- Lambert, W. E. (1967). A social psychology of bilingualism. *Journal of Social Issues*, 23, 91–109. doi:10.1111/j.1540-4560.1967.tb00578.x
- Lambert, W. E. (1980). The social psychology of language: A perspective for the 1980s. In H. Giles, W. P. Robinson, & P. M. Smith (eds.), *Language: Social psychological perspectives* (pp. 415–424). Oxford, UK: Pergamon.

- Lantolf, J. P., & Thorne, S. L. (2006). *Sociocultural theory and the genesis of second language development*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Le Page, R. B., & Tabouret-Keller, A. (1985). *Acts of identity: Creole-based approaches to language and ethnicity*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Leach, C. W., van Zomeren, M., Zebel, S., Vliek, M. L. W., Ouwerkerk, J. W., & Spears, R. (2008). Group-level self-definition and self-investment: A hierarchical (multicomponent) model of in-group identification. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *95*, 144–165. doi:[10.1037/0022-3514.95.1.144](https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.95.1.144)
- Lippi-Green, R. (2012). *English with an accent: Language, ideology, and discrimination in the United States* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Lo, A. (1999). Codeswitching, speech, community, membership, and the construction of ethnic identity. *Journal of Sociolinguistics*, *3*, 461–479. doi:[10.1111/1467-9481.00091](https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9481.00091)
- MacIntyre, P. D., Clément, R., Dörnyei, Z., & Noels, K. A. (1998). Conceptualizing willingness to communicate in a L2: A situational model of L2 confidence and affiliation. *Modern Language Journal*, *82*, 545–562. doi:[10.2307/330224](https://doi.org/10.2307/330224)
- MacKenzie, I. (2009). Negotiating Europe's lingua franca. *European Journal of English Studies*, *13*, 223–240. doi:[10.1080/13825570902907268](https://doi.org/10.1080/13825570902907268)
- Matsuda, M. J. (1991). Voices of America: Accent, antidiscrimination law, and a jurisprudence for the last reconstruction. *The Yale Law Journal*, *100*, 1329–1407. doi:[10.2307/796694](https://doi.org/10.2307/796694)
- McCrone, D., & Bechhofer, F. (2008). National identity and social inclusion. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, *31*, 1245–1266. doi:[10.1080/01419870701704677](https://doi.org/10.1080/01419870701704677)
- Michel, A., Titzmann, P. F., & Silbereisen, R. K. (2012). Language shift among adolescent ethnic German immigrants: Predictors of increasing use of German over time. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, *36*, 248–259. doi:[10.1016/j.ijintrel.2011.10.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2011.10.002)
- Miller, J. (1999). Becoming audible: Social identity and second language use. *Journal of Intercultural Studies*, *20*, 149–165. doi:[10.1080/07256868.1999.9963477](https://doi.org/10.1080/07256868.1999.9963477)
- Motha, S., & Lin, A. (2014). Non-coercive rearrangements: Theorizing desire in TESOL. *TESOL Quarterly*, *48*, 331–359. doi:[10.1002/tesq.126](https://doi.org/10.1002/tesq.126)
- Moyer, A. (2007). Do language attitudes determine accent? A study of bilinguals in the USA. *Journal of Multilingual & Multicultural Development*, *28*, 502–518. doi:[10.2167/jmmd514.0](https://doi.org/10.2167/jmmd514.0)
- Nguyen, A.-M. T. D., & Benet-Martínez, V. (2013). Biculturalism and adjustment: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, *44*, 122–159. doi:[10.1177/0022022111435097](https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022111435097)
- Noels, K. A., & Giles, H. (2009). Social identity and language learning. In W. C. Ritchie & T. K. Bhatia (eds.), *New handbook of second language acquisition* (pp. 647–670). Bingley, UK: Emerald.
- Noels, K. A., Pon, G., & Clément, R. (1996). Language, identity, and adjustment: The role of linguistic self-confidence in the acculturation process. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, *15*, 246–264. doi:[10.1177/0261927X960153003](https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927X960153003)
- Noels, K., & Clément, R. (1996). Communicating across cultures: Social determinants and acculturative consequences. *Canadian Journal of Behavioral Science*, *28*, 214–228. doi:[10.1037/0008-400X.28.3.214](https://doi.org/10.1037/0008-400X.28.3.214)
- Noels, K. A., Leavitt, P., & Clément, R. (2010). “To see ourselves as others see us”: On the implications of reflected appraisals for ethnic identity and discrimination. *Journal of Social Issues*, *64*, 740–758. doi:[10.1111/j.1540-4560.2010.01673.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2010.01673.x)
- Northover, M., & Donnelly, S. (1996). A future for Irish/English bilingualism in Northern Ireland. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*, *17*, 33–48. doi:[10.1080/01434639608666258](https://doi.org/10.1080/01434639608666258)
- Norton, B., & McKinney, C. (2011). Identity and second language acquisition. In D. Atkinson (ed.), *Alternative approaches to second language acquisition* (pp. 73–94). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Norton, B., & Toohey, K. (2011). Identity, language learning, and social change. *Language Teaching*, *44*, 412–446. doi:[10.1017/S0261444811000309](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444811000309)
- Oropesa, R. S., Landale, N. S., & Greif, M. J. (2008). From Puerto Rican to pan-ethnic in New York City. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, *31*, 1315–1339. doi:[10.1080/01419870701722521](https://doi.org/10.1080/01419870701722521)
- Paladino, M.-P., Poddesu, L., Rauzi, M., Vaes, J., Cadinu, M., & Forer, D. (2009). Second language competence in the Italian-speaking population of Alto Adige/Südtirol: Evidence for linguistic stereotype threat. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, *28*, 222–243. doi:[10.1177/0261927X09335333](https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927X09335333)
- Pantos, A. J., & Perkins, A. W. (2012). Measuring implicit and explicit attitudes toward foreign accented speech. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, *32*, 3–20. doi:[10.1177/0261927X12463005](https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927X12463005)
- Peltier, S. (2010). Facilitating language and literacy learning for students with aboriginal English dialects. *Canadian Journal of Native Education*, *32*, 114–155.

- Polat, N., & Mahalingappa, L. J. (2010). Gender differences in identity and acculturation patterns and L2 accent attainment. *Journal of Language, Identity, and Education*, 9, 17–35. doi:10.1080/15348450903476832
- Polat, N., & Schallert, D. L. (2013). Kurdish Adolescents acquiring Turkish: Their self-determined motivation and identification with L1 and L2 communities as predictors of L2 accent attainment. *Modern Language Journal*, 97, 745–763. doi:10.1111/j.1540-4781.2013.12033.x
- Rajadurai, J. (2007). Out-group phonological markers and the negotiation of identity. *International Journal of Multilingualism*, 4, 282–299. doi:10.2167/ijm069.0
- Rakić, T., Steffens, M. C., & Mummendey, A. (2011). Blinded by accent! The minor role of looks in ethnic categorization. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 100, 16–29. doi:10.1037/a0021522
- Rampton, B. (2005). *Crossing: Language and ethnicity among adolescents*. London, UK: Longman.
- Reyes, A. (2005). Appropriation of African American slang by Asian American youth. *Journal of Sociolinguistics*, 9, 509–532. doi:10.1111/j.1360-6441.2005.00304.x
- Rubinfeld, S., Clément, R., Lussier, D., Lebrun, M., & Auger, R. (2006). Second language learning and cultural representations: Beyond competence and identity. *Language Learning*, 56, 609–631. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9922.2006.00390.x
- Sachdev, I., Giles, H., & Pauwels, A. (2012). Accommodating multilinguality. In W. C. Ritchie & T. K. Bhatia (eds.), *Handbook of bilingualism and multilingualism* (pp. 391–416). Chichester, UK: Wiley.
- Schilling-Estes, N. (2004). Constructing ethnicity in interaction. *Journal of Sociolinguistics*, 8, 163–195. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9841.2004.00257.x
- Segalowitz, N., Gabonton, E., & Trofimovich, P. (2009). Links between ethnolinguistic affiliation, self-related motivation and second language fluency: Are they mediated by psycholinguistic variables? In Z. Dörnyei & E. Ushioda (eds.), *Motivation, language identity and the L2 self* (pp. 172–192). Bristol, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- Taylor, D. M., Meynard, R., & Rhéault, E. (1977). Threat to ethnic identity and second-language learning. In H. Giles (ed.), *Language, ethnicity, and intergroup relations* (pp. 98–118). London, UK: Academic Press.
- Temple, B. (2010). Feeling special: Language in the lives of Polish people. *Sociological Review*, 58, 286–304. doi:10.1111/j.1467-954X.2010.01904.x
- Thomas, B. C., Carlson, L. E., & Bultz, B. D. (2009). Cancer patient ethnicity and associations with emotional distress—the 6th vital sign: A new look at defining patient ethnicity in a multicultural context. *Journal of Immigrant and Minority Health*, 11, 237–248. doi:10.1007/s10903-008-9180-0
- Trofimovich, P. (2013). Interactive alignment: A teaching-friendly view of second language pronunciation learning. *Language Teaching*. Published online. doi:10.1017/S0261444813000360
- Trofimovich, P., Turuševa, L., & Gabonton, E. (2013). Group membership and identity issues in second language learning. *Language Teaching*, 46, 563–567. doi:10.1017/S026144481300030X
- van Geert, P., Steenbeek, H., & van Dijk, M. (2011). A dynamic model of expert-novice co-adaptation during language learning and acquisition. In M. S. Schmid & W. Lowie (eds.), *Modeling bilingualism: From structure to chaos* (pp. 235–266). Amsterdam, the Netherlands: John Benjamins.
- van Tubergen, F., & Kalmijn, M. (2005). Destination-language proficiency in cross-national perspective: A study of immigrant groups in nine western countries. *American Journal of Sociology*, 110, 1412–1457. doi:10.1086/428931
- Vedder, P., & Virta, E. (2005). Language, ethnic identity, and the adaptation of Turkish immigrant youth in the Netherlands and Sweden. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 29, 317–337. doi:10.1016/j.ijintrel.2005.05.006
- Walters, D., Phythian, K., & Anisef, P. (2007). The acculturation of Canadian immigrants: Determinants of ethnic identification with the host society. *Canadian Review of Sociology*, 44, 37–64. doi:10.1111/j.1755-618X.2007.tb01147.x
- Weyant, J. M. (2007). Perspective taking as a means of reducing negative stereotyping of individuals who speak English as a second language. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 37, 703–716. doi:10.1111/j.1559-1816.2007.00181.x
- Yip, T. (2005). Sources of situational variation in ethnic identity and psychological well-being: A palm pilot study of Chinese American students. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 31, 1603–1616. doi:10.1177/0146167205277094